arXiv:1009.0787v1 [math.AC] 3 Sep 2010

Results on the Ratliff-Rush Closure and the Integral Closedness of Powers of Certain Monomial Curves

Ibrahim Al-Ayyoub

September 7, 2010

Abstract

Starting from [1] we compute the Groebner basis for the defining ideal, P, of the monomial curves that correspond to arithmetic sequences, and then give an elegant description of the generators of powers of the initial ideal of P, inP. The first result of this paper introduces a procedure for generating infinite families of Ratliff-Rush ideals, in polynomial rings with multivariables, from a Ratliff-Rush ideal in polynomial rings with two variables. The second result is to prove that all powers of inP are Ratliff-Rush. The proof is through applying the first result of this paper combined with Corollary (12) in [2]. This generalizes the work of [4] (or [5]) for the case of arithmetic sequences. Finally, we apply the main result of [3] to give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the integral closedness of any power of inP.

Keywords: Monomial curves; normal ideals; Ratliff-Rush closure; integral closure; monomial ideals.

Math Subject Classification: 13P10.

1 Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$, F a field and let $x_0, ..., x_n, t$ be indeterminates. Let $m_0, ..., m_n$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let P be the kernel of the F-algebra homomorphism $\eta : F[x_0, ..., x_n] \to F[t]$, defined by $\eta(x_i) = t^{m_i}$. Such an ideal is called a *toric ideal* and the variety $V(P)$, the zero set of P, is called an *affine toric variety*. Toric ideals are an interesting kind of ideals that have been studied by many authors such as [25] and Chapter 4 of [24]. The theory of toric varieties plays an important role at the crossroads of geometry, algebra and combinatorics. The initial ideals, inP, of the monomial curves that correspond to an (almost) arithmetic sequence have been studied by many authors such as [4], [15], [16], [17], [18], and [23]. In this paper we are interested in studying the

Ratliff-Rush and the integral closedness of powers of inP for the case when the sequence $m_0, ..., m_n$ is arithmetic. This study in motivated by results from Al-Ayyoub [1], [2], and [3].

In Section (2) we recall the result of [16] where the generators of P are explicitly constructed for the case when the sequence $m_0, ..., m_n$ is almost arithmetic, that is, some $n-1$ of these form an arithmetic sequence. Then we calculate the values of the parameters given in [16] so that we obtain the generators for P for the case when the sequence $m_0, ..., m_n$ is arithmetic, and then we use Theorem (2.11) of [1] to obtain the Groebner basis for P.

Section (3) introduces a procedure (Theorem (14)) for generating Ratliff-Rush ideals in polynomial rings with arbitrary number of variables from a Ratliff-Rush ideal in polynomial rings with two variables. Then we use Theorem (14), along with Corollary (12) of Al-Ayyoub [2], to give a generalization of the main result of [4] (or [5]) for the case of arithmetic sequences. In particular, we prove that all powers of inP are Ratliff-Rush.

In Section (4), motivated by Theorem (20) of [3], we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the integral closedness of all positive powers of inP.

2 The Defining Ideals of Monomial Curves

Let $m_0, ..., m_n$ be an almost arithmetic sequence of positive integers, that is, some $n-1$ of these form an arithmetic sequence, and assume $\gcd(m_0, ..., m_n) = 1$. Let P be the kernel of the F-algebra homomorphism $\eta : F[x_0, ..., x_n] \to F[t]$, defined by $\eta(x_i) = t^{m_i}$. A set of generators for the ideal P was explicitly constructed in [16]. We call these generators the *"Patil-Singh generators"*. Al-Ayyoub [1] proved that Patil-Singh generators form a Groebner basis for the prime ideal P with respect to the grevlex monomial order with the grading $wt(x_i) = m_i$ with $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ (in this case $\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_i^{a_i} >_{grevlex} \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_i^{b_i}$ if in the ordered tuple $(a_0 - b_0, a_1 - b_1, ..., a_n - b_n)$ the left-most nonzero entry is negative). In order to state the Groebner basis, we need to introduce some notations and terminology that [16] used in their construction of the generating set for the ideal P.

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $p = n - 1$. Let $m_0, ..., m_p, m_n$ be an almost arithmetic sequence of positive integers and $\gcd(m_0, ..., m_n) = 1$, $0 < m_0 < \cdots < m_p$, and m_n arbitrary. Let Γ denote the numerical semigroup that is minimally generated by $m_0, ..., m_p, m_n$, i.e. $\Gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} m_i$. Put $\Gamma' = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} m_i$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma' + \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} m_n$ where $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Notation 1 *For $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[c, d] = \{t \in \mathbb{Z} \mid c \leq t \leq d\}$. For $t \geq 0$, let $q_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r_t \in [1, p]$ and $g_t \in \Gamma'$ be defined by $t = q_t p + r_t$ and $g_t = q_t m_p + m_{r_t}$.*

The following lemma gives an explicit description of the set of generators for the defining ideal.

Lemma 2 ***[16, Lemma 3.1 and 3.2]*** *Let $u = min\{t \geq 0 \mid g_t - m_0 \in \Gamma\}$ and $\upsilon = min\{b \geq 1 \mid bm_n \in \Gamma'\}$. Then there exist unique integers $w \in [0, \upsilon - 1]$, $z \in [0, u - 1]$, $\lambda \geq 1$, and $\mu \geq 0$, such that*
(i) $g_u = \lambda m_0 + w m_n$;
(ii) $\upsilon m_n = \mu m_0 + g_z$;
(iii) $$g_{u-z} + (\upsilon - w)m_n = \begin{cases} (\lambda + \mu + 1)\, m_0, & \text{if } r_{u-z} < r_u; \\ (\lambda + \mu)\, m_0, & \text{if } r_{u-z} \geq r_u. \end{cases}$$

Now the Patil-Singh generators are as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varphi_i & = x_{i+r_u} x_p^{q_u} - x_0^{\lambda-1} x_i x_n^w, & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq p - r_u; \\ \alpha_{i,j} & = x_i x_j - x_{i-1} x_{j+1}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p - 1; \\ \theta & = x_n^{\upsilon} - x_0^{\mu} x_{r_z} x_p^{q_z}, & \\ \psi_j & = x_{\varepsilon p + r_u - r_z + j} x_p^{q_u - q_z - \varepsilon} x_n^{\upsilon - w} - x_0^{\lambda + \mu - \varepsilon} x_j, & \text{for } j \in J. \end{array}$$

where $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 according to $r_u > r_z$ or $r_u \leq r_z$, and $J = [0\ , (1-\varepsilon)p + r_z - r_u]$ or ϕ according to $z > 0$ or $z = 0$.

Theorem 3 ***[1, Theorem 2.11]*** *The set $\{\varphi_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq p - r_u\} \cup \{\theta\} \cup \{\alpha_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p - 1\} \cup \{\psi_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq (1-\varepsilon)p + r_z - r_u\}$ forms a Groebner basis for the ideal P with respect to the grevlex monomial order with $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ and with the grading $wt(x_i) = m_i$.*

In this paper we are interested in monomial curves that correspond to arithmetic sequences. In the following lemma and remarks we explicitly state the values of the parameters in Lemma (2) for such monomial curves.

Lemma 4 *Let $\Gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} m_i$ be the numerical semigroup that is minimally generated by the arithmetic sequence $m_0, ..., m_n$ with m_0 a positive integer, $m_i = m_0 + id$, and $\gcd(m_0, ..., m_n) = 1$, where d is a positive integer with $\gcd(m_0, d) = 1$. Put $\Gamma' = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} m_i$. Then $n = min\{t \geq 0 \mid g_t - m_0 \in \Gamma\}$ and $\lceil \frac{m_0}{n} \rceil = min\{b \geq 1 \mid bm_n \in \Gamma'\}$.*

Proof. By the assumption of the minimality on the generators of Γ we must have $n < m_0$. Note $g_0 - m_0 = -m_0 \notin \Gamma$. If $0 < t < n$, then $g_t - m_0 = m_t - m_0 = td \notin \Gamma$ since $t < m_0$ and $\gcd(m_0, d) = 1$. On the other hand, $g_n - m_0 = m_p + m_1 - m_0 = m_n \in \Gamma$. This proves $n = min\{t \geq 0 \mid g_t - m_0 \in \Gamma\}$, that is, $u = n$ as in Lemma (2).

If we write $m_0 = qn + r$ with $1 \leq r \leq n$, then $\left\lceil \frac{m_0}{n} \right\rceil = q + 1$ and $\left\lceil \frac{m_0}{n} \right\rceil m_n = (q+1)m_0 + (q+1)nd = (q+d)m_0 + m_0 + (n-r)d = (q+d)m_0 + m_{n-r} \in \Gamma'$. On the other hand, let $0 < a \leq q$ be an integer such that $a = min\{b \geq 1 \mid bm_n \in \Gamma'\}$. Note that since $u = n$, then $z \leq p = n - 1$, where z is as given in Lemma (2). This implies $q_z = 0$ and $r_z = z$; hence, $g_z = m_{r_z} = m_0 + zd$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} am_n &= am_0 + and \\ &= (a-1)m_0 + (an - z)d + m_0 + zd \\ &= (a-1)m_0 + (an - z)d + g_z. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness and part (ii) in Lemma (2), if $\upsilon = a$, then m_0 must divide $(an - z)d$. But $\gcd(m_0, d) = 1$; thus, m_0 must divide $an - z \leq qn - z \leq qn < m_0$, a contradiction. ■

Remark 5 *Write* $m_0 = qn + r$ *with* $1 \leq r \leq n$. *By Lemma (4)* $u = n$ *and* $\upsilon = q + 1$. *This implies* $q_u = 1$ *and* $r_u = 1$, *where* q_u *and* r_u *are as defined in Notation (1). Also, from the proof of Lemma (4), as well as the uniqueness in Lemma (2), we have* $\lambda = 1$, $w = 1$, $\mu = q + d$, *and* $z = n - r$. *This implies* $q_z = 0$ *and* $r_z = n - r$.

Remark 6 *Let* r *and* n *be as in the above remark. If* $r = n$, *then* $z = 0$; *thus,* $J = \phi$, *where* J *is as defined after Lemma (2). In such a case the binomials* ψ_j *do not exist in the Patil-Singh generators, thus we do not have to worry about the values of* $\upsilon - w$, $q_u - q_z - \varepsilon$, *and* $\lambda + \mu - \varepsilon$. *Otherwise, if* $1 \leq r < n$, *then* $r_z = n - r \geq r_u$ *as* $r_u = 1$ *by the above remark; thus* $\varepsilon = 1$, *therefore,* $\upsilon - w = q$, $q_u - q_z - \varepsilon = 0$, *and* $\lambda + \mu - \varepsilon = q + d$.

Notation 7 *For the remaining of this paper, we let* $p = n-1$ *and the parameters* q *and* r *will have the same meaning assigned to them by the above remark, that is,* $m_0 = qn + r$ *with* $1 \leq r \leq n$. *Note that* $q \geq 1$ *since* $m_0 > n$.

Now by Theorem (3), Lemma (4), and the remarks above we may state the following proposition which is the beginning step towards proving two of the main theorems of this paper, namely, Theorem (18) and Theorem (22).

Proposition 8 *Let P be the defining ideal of the monomial curve that corresponds to the arithmetic sequence $m_0, ..., m_n$ with m_0 a positive integer, $m_i = m_0 + id$, and $\gcd(m_0, ..., m_n) = 1$, where d is a positive integer with $\gcd(m_0, d) = 1$. Then the set $\{x_i x_j - x_{i-1} x_{j+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_{r+j} x_n^q - x_0^{q+d} x_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-r\}$ forms a Groebner basis for P with respect to the grevlex monomial ordering with $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ and with the grading $wt(x_i) = m_i$.*

Proof. By Remarks (5) and (6) we have

$$\left\{x_{i+r_u} x_p^{q_u} - x_0^{\lambda-1} x_i x_n^w \mid 0 \leq i \leq p - r_u\right\} = \{x_{i+1} x_p - x_i x_n \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}.$$

Thus

$$\{\varphi_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq p - r_u\} \cup \{\alpha_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p-1\} = \{x_i x_j - x_{i-1} x_{j+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}.$$

Also, $\theta = x_n^{\upsilon} - x_0^{\mu} x_{r_z} x_p^{q_z} = x_n^{q+1} - x_0^{q+d} x_{n-r}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{x_{\varepsilon p + r_u - r_z + j} x_p^{q_u - q_z - \varepsilon} x_n^{\upsilon - w} - x_0^{\lambda + \mu - \varepsilon} x_j \mid 0 \ \leq \ j \leq (1-\varepsilon)p + r_z - r_u\right\} \\ = \ & \left\{x_{p+1-(n-r)+j} x_n^q - x_0^{q+d} x_j \mid 0 \ \leq \ j \leq r_z - 1\right\} \\ = \ & \left\{x_{r+j} x_n^q - x_0^{q+d} x_j \mid 0 \ \leq \ j \leq n-r-1\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\left\{\psi_j \mid \ j \in J\right\} \cup \{\theta\} = \left\{x_{r+j} x_n^q - x_0^{q+d} x_j \mid 0 \ \leq \ j \leq n-r\right\}$. Thus, Theorem (3) finishes the proof. ■

Therefore,

$$inP = \langle \{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_{r+j} x_n^q \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-r\} \rangle,$$

where inP is the initial ideal of P.

2.1 The Minimal Set of Generators of $(inP)^l$

In this subsection we give an elegant description of the generators of any power of inP. Let $\lambda_e = \left\lceil e\dfrac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil$. Recall,

$$inP = \langle \{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_t x_n^q \mid r \leq t \leq n\} \rangle.$$

The ideal $(inP)^l$ is generated by all monomials in the set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2a-1}}x_{i_{2a}}x_{t_1}x_{t_2}\cdots x_{t_b}x_n^{(q+1)(l-a)-b} \mid & 1 \le i_j \le n-1; \\ & r \le t_j \le n-1; \\ & a = 0,1,2,...,l; \text{ and} \\ & b = 0,1,2,\ldots,l-a. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Assume $a < l$ and $b \ge 2$ and let $\sigma = x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2a-1}}x_{i_{2a}}x_{t_1}x_{t_2}\cdots x_{t_b}x_n^{(q+1)(l-a)-b}$. Let $i_{2a+1} = t_1$ and $i_{2(a+1)} = t_2$. Then σ equals or it is a multiple of

$$x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2a-1}}x_{i_{2a}}x_{i_{2a+1}}x_{i_{2(a+1)}}x_{t_3}\cdots x_{t_b}x_n^{(q+1)(l-a-1)-(b-2)}.$$

Repeating the same process on pairs of the t_i, it can be shown that σ equals or it is a multiple of

$$x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2(a+b/2)}}x_n^{(q+1)(l-a-b/2)} = x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2(a+b/2)}}x_n^{\lambda_{2(l-a-b/2)}} \in \Sigma$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2(a+(b-1)/2)}}x_{t_b}x_n^{(q+1)(l-a-(b-1)/2))-1} \\ = \quad & x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2(a+(b-1)/2)}}x_{t_b}x_n^{\lambda_{2(l-(a+(b-1)/2))}-1} \in \Sigma \end{aligned}$$

according to b is even or odd. This implies that every monomial in Σ equals or it is a multiple of these two forms of monomials. Therefore, $(inP)^l$ is *minimally* generated by the monomials of the set

$$\begin{array}{rl} \Omega = & \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2e-1}}x_{i_{2e}}x_n^{\lambda_{2(l-e)}} \mid e = 0,1,2,...,l;\ 1 \le i_j \le n-1\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2e-1}}x_{i_{2e}}x_t x_n^{\lambda_{2(l-e)}-1} \mid & e = 0,1,2,...,l-1; \\ & 1 \le i_j \le n-1;\ r \le t \le n-1 \end{array} \right\}. \end{array}$$

If $c = 2(l-e)$, then $2e = 2l-c$. Thus Ω can be written as

$$\begin{array}{rl} \Omega = & \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2l-c}}x_n^{\lambda_c} \mid c = 0,2,4,6,...,2l;\ 1 \le i_j \le n-1\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2l-c}}x_t x_n^{\lambda_c-1} \mid & c = 2,4,6,...,2l;\ 1 \le i_j \le n-1; \\ & r \le t \le n-1. \end{array} \right\}. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

3 The Ratliff-Rush Closure

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with unity and I a regular ideal in R, that is, an ideal that contains a nonzerodivisor. Then the ideals of the form

$I^{n+1} : I^n = \{x \in R \mid xI^n \subseteq I^{n+1}\}$ give the ascending chain $I : I^0 \subseteq I^2 : I^1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I^n : I^{n+1} \subseteq \ldots$. Let

$$\widetilde{I} = \underset{n \geq 1}{\cup} (I^{n+1} : I^n).$$

As R is Noetherian, $\widetilde{I} = I^{n+1} : I^n$ for all sufficiently large n. Ratliff and Rush [19, Theorem 2.1] proved that $\widetilde{I}$ is the unique largest ideal for which $(\widetilde{I})^n = I^n$ for sufficiently large n. The ideal $\widetilde{I}$ is called the *Ratliff-Rush closure* of I and I is called *Ratliff-Rush* if $I = \widetilde{I}$.

As yet, there is no algorithm to compute the Ratliff-Rush closure for regular ideals in general. To compute $\cup_n (I^{n+1} : I^n)$ one needs to find a positive integer N such that $\cup_n (I^{n+1} : I^n) = I^{N+1} : I^N$. However, $I^{n+1} : I^n = I^{n+2} : I^{n+1}$ does not imply that $I^{n+1} : I^n = I^{n+3} : I^{n+2}$ ([21], Example (1.8)). Several different approaches have been used to decide the Ratliff-Rush closure; Heinzer et al. [10], Property (1.2), established that every power of a regular ideal I is Ratliff-Rush if and only if the associated graded ring, $gr_I(R) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$, has a nonzerodivisor (has positive depth). Thus the Ratliff-Rush property of an ideal is a good tool for getting information about the depth of the associated graded ring, which is by itself a topic of interest for many authors such as [12], [13] and [9]. Elias [8] established a procedure for computing the Ratliff-Rush closure of $\mathbf{m}$-primary ideals of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$. Elias' procedure depends on computing the Hilbert-Poincaré series of I and then the multiplicity and the postulation number of I. Crispin [7] established an algorithm to compute the Ratliff-Rush closure of monomial ideals in a polynomial ring with two variables over a field. Generalizing the whole work of Crispin, Al-Ayyoub [2] introduced an algorithm for computing the Ratliff-Rush closure of ideals of the form $I = \langle x^{a_n}, y^{b_0} \rangle + \langle x^{a_i} y^{b_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, r \rangle \subset F[x, y]$ with $a_i < a_n$, $b_i < b_0$, and $b_i / (a_n - a_i) \geq b_0 / a_n$.

3.1 A Result on the Ratliff-Rush Closure

Computing the Ratliff-Rush closure is proven to be a hard problem in general. Furthermore, it is still a hard problem to decide whether a given (monomial) ideal is Ratliff-Rush. Theorem (14) below introduces a procedure for generating Ratliff-Rush ideals in polynomial rings with arbitrary number of variables from a Ratliff-Rush ideal in polynomial rings with two variables. The procedure is very helpful as it can be used to generate families of Ratliff-Rush ideals whose powers are all Ratliff-Rush, while most of such ideals that are given in the literature are with two variables. In particular, we apply Theorem (14), along with Corollary (12) of Al-Ayyoub [2], to conclude that all powers of the initial ideals of the defining ideals of certain monomial curves are Ratliff-Rush. This generalizes the work of Al-Ayyoub [4] (or [5]) that shows that such initial ideals are Ratliff-Rush.

The following notation introduces the objects that constitute the hypotheses for the main result of this subsection. The example and the figure below give a visualization of these hypotheses.

Notation 9 *Fix nonnegative integers m and s with $s \leq m$. For any three nonnegative integers a, b and $k \leq a$ define the subset $\Gamma_{a,b,k} \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ as follows*

$$\Gamma_{a,b,k} = \{x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b \mid t_j \geq 0,\ \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j = a \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{s} t_j \geq k\}.$$

Let $I = \left\langle x^{a_i} y^{b_i} \mid i = 0, \ldots, r \right\rangle \subset F[x, y]$, with $b_i < b_{i+1}$ and $a_i > a_{i+1}$, be a monomial ideal and let $K = \{k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_r\}$ where the k_i are fixed nonnegative integers. Let $J_i \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ be the monomial ideal generated by all elements in Γ_{a_i,b_i,k_i}, that is,

$$J_i = \langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{a_i,b_i,k_i} \rangle .$$

Also, let $J \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ be the ideal generated by Γ_{a_i,b_i,k_i} for all i, that is,

$$J = J_0 + J_1 + \cdots + J_r = \left\langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{r} \Gamma_{a_i,b_i,k_i} \right\rangle .$$

Denote

$$J = \Gamma_{I,K}.$$

Example 10 *Let $I = \langle m_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, r \rangle \subset F[x, y]$ where $m_0 = x^8, m_1 = x^7y^2, m_2 = x^6y^4, m_3 = x^5y^6, m_4 = x^4y^7, m_5 = xy^8$, and $m_6 = y^9$. Let $m = 2$ and $s = 1$. Let $k_0 = 3$, $k_1 = 4$, $k_2 = 5$, $k_3 = 2$, $k_4 = 0$, $k_5 = 1$, and $k_6 = 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{a_0,b_0,k_0} \rangle = \langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{8,0,3} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} y^0 \mid t_j \geq 0,\ t_1 + t_2 = 8 \text{ and } t_1 \geq 3 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x_1^8, x_1^7 x_2^1, x_1^6 x_2^2, \ldots, x_1^3 x_2^5 \right\rangle , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{a_1,b_1,k_1} \rangle = \langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{7,2,4} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} y^2 \mid t_j \geq 0,\ t_1 + t_2 = 7 \text{ and } t_1 \geq 4 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x_1^7 y^2, x_1^6 x_2^1 y^2, x_1^5 x_2^2 y^2, x_1^4 x_2^3 y^2 \right\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \left\langle x_1^6 y^4, x_1^5 x_2^1 y^4 \right\rangle,\ J_3 = \left\langle x_1^5 y^6, x_1^4 x_2^1 y^6, x_1^3 x_2^2 y^6, x_1^2 x_2^3 y^6 \right\rangle, \\ J_4 &= \left\langle x_1^4 y^7, x_1^3 x_2^1 y^7, x_1^2 x_2^2 y^7, x_1^1 x_2^3 y^7, x_2^4 y^7 \right\rangle,\ J_5 = \left\langle x_1 y^8 \right\rangle, \text{ and } J_6 = \left\langle y^9 \right\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1 (a) gives a representation of the ideal I while Figure 1 (b) gives a representation of the ideal J, where the generators of J are represented by black disks.

Figure 1 (a) **Figure 1 (b)**

The monomials in Figure 1 (b) that are represented by grey disks are not in J. Those monomials are not contained in the Ratliff-Rush closure of J as Lemma (13) shows.

Remark 11 *If l is any positive integer, then*

$$I^l = \left\langle x^a y^b \mid a = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i a_i \text{ and } b = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i b_i \text{ with } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i = l \text{ and } \alpha_i \geq 0 \right\rangle .$$

Also, note that $\prod_{i=0}^{r} \left(\Gamma_{a_i,b_i,k_i}\right)^{\alpha_i} = \Gamma_{a,b,k}$ where $a = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i a_i$, $b = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i b_i$ and

$k = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i k_i$. Therefore,

$$J^l = \left\langle \gamma \in \Gamma_{a,b,k} \mid x^a y^b \in I^l \text{ where } k = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i k_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i = l \right\rangle \tag{2}$$

where $a = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i a_i$, $b = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i b_i$. Hence, if $x_1^{c_1} \cdots x_m^{c_m} y^d \in J^l$, where $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} c_i = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i a_i$ with $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i = l$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} c_i \geq \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i k_i$.

Remark 12 *Let $\delta = x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b$. Then $\delta \notin J$ if and only if exactly one of the following holds*

1) If for some j, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t_i \geq a_j$ and $b \geq b_j$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} t_i < k_j$.

2) For every j, either $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t_i < a_j$ or $b < b_j$.

The following lemma shows that the monomials that satisfy the first condition in the above remark are not in $\widetilde{J}$.

Lemma 13 *Let $\delta = x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b$ be such that whenever for some j, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t_i \geq a_j$ and $b \geq b_j$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} t_i < k_j$. Then $\delta \notin \widetilde{J}$.*

Proof. Let l be any positive integer and choose $\gamma = x_1^{c_1} \cdots x_m^{c_m} y^d \in J^l$ such that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} c_i$ is minimal with respect to the total degree of $x_1 \cdots x_s$ among all monomials in J^l. As $\gamma \in J^l$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} c_i = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i a_i$ with $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i = l$. By the last line of Remark (11) and by the minimality assumption, we have $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} c_i = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i k_i$. Assume that the hypothesis is satisfied for some j. That is, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t_i \geq a_j$ and $b \geq b_j$, but $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} t_i < k_j$. Let $\beta_i = \alpha_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, \widehat{j}, \ldots, r$ and $\beta_j = \alpha_j + 1$, then we get $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i = l+1$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} (t_i + c_i) \geq \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i a_i$, and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} (t_i + c_i) < \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i k_i$. Thus by (2), and the last line of Remark (11), we get $\delta\gamma = x_1^{t_1+c_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m+c_m} y^{b+d} \notin J^{l+1}$, that is, $\delta \notin \widetilde{J}$. ■

Now we state and prove the first main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 14 *Let $I \subset F[x,y]$ and $J \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ be ideals as defined in Notation (9). If I is Ratliff-Rush, then $J = \Gamma_{I,K}$ is Ratliff-Rush.*

Proof. Assume J is not Ratliff-Rush. Let $\delta = x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_m^{e_m} y^d \in \widetilde{J} \backslash J$ and $e = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} e_i$. By Remark (12) and Lemma (13) we must have that, for every j, either $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t_i < a_j$ or $d < b_j$. This implies $x^e y^d \notin I$.

As $\delta \in \widetilde{J}$, then $\delta J^l \subseteq J^{l+1}$ for some l. Let $\gamma = x^a y^b \in I^l$ be any minimal generator of I^l. Then $a = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i a_i$ and $b = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i b_i$ with $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i = l$, where $\alpha_i \geq 0$. By (2) we have $x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b \in J^l$, where $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t_i = a$ with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} t_i \geq \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i k_i$. As $\delta J^l \subseteq J^{l+1}$, then $\delta x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b \in J^{l+1}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\delta x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b = x_1^{t'_1} \cdots x_m^{t'_m} y^{b'} \in J^{l+1}$ where $t'_i = e_i + t_i$, $b' = b + d$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t'_i = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i a_i$, $b' = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i b_i$, and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} t'_i \geq \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i k_i$ with $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i = l + 1$. If we let $t' = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} t'_i$, then by (2) we have $x^{t'} y^{b'} \in I^{l+1}$ and $\gamma x^e y^d = x^a y^b x^e y^d = x^{t'} y^{b'} \in I^{l+1}$. Thus $x^e y^d I^l \subseteq I^{l+1}$, that is, $x^e y^d \in \widetilde{I}$. Thus I is not Ratliff-Rush. ■

Remark 15 *Fix nonnegative integers m and s with $s \leq m$. For any three nonnegative integers a, b and $k \leq a$ define $\Gamma'_{a,b,k} \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ as*

$$\Gamma'_{a,b,k} = \{x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_m^{t_m} y^b \mid t_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j = a \text{ and } \sum_{j=m-s+1}^{m} t_j \geq k\}.$$

Let $I = \langle x^{a_i} y^{b_i} \mid i = 0, \ldots, r \rangle \subset F[x, y]$ be a monomial ideal and $K = \{k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_r\}$, with the k_i fixed nonnegative integers. Let $\Gamma'_{I,K} \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ be the ideal generated by Γ'_{a_i,b_i,k_i} for all i, that is,

$$\Gamma'_{I,K} = \left\langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{r} \Gamma'_{a_i,b_i,k_i} \right\rangle.$$

Then by symmetry on the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$, we have that $\Gamma'_{I,K}$ is Ratliff-Rush if and only if $\Gamma_{I,K}$ is Ratliff-Rush.

3.2 All Powers of inP are Ratliff-Rush

Al-Ayyoub [4] (or [5]) and [2] proved the following results.

Theorem 16 *[4, Theorem 1.8] Let $P \subseteq F[x_0, ..., x_n]$ be the ideal that corresponds to the almost arithmetic sequence $m_0, ..., m_n$ with m_0 a positive integer. Then inP is Ratliff-Rush.*

Theorem 17 *[2, Corollary 12] Let $I = \langle x^a, x^c y^d, y^b \rangle \subset F[x, y]$ where $x^c y^d = 0$ or $\dfrac{d}{a-c} \geq \dfrac{b}{a}$. Then all powers of I are Ratliff-Rush.*

The following theorem is the second main result of this paper where we generalize the work of [4] (or [5]), Theorem (16) above, for the case of arithmetic sequences. We work the proof by applying Theorem (14) together with Theorem (17).

Theorem 18 (Generalizing Theorem (16)) *Let* $P \subseteq F[x_0, ..., x_n]$ *be the ideal that corresponds to the arithmetic sequence* $m_0, ..., m_n$ *with* m_0 *a positive integer. Then* $(inP)^l$ *is Ratliff-Rush for all positive integers* l*.*

Proof. Recall, $inP = \langle \{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_t x_n^q \mid r \leq t \leq n\} \rangle$. Let $I = \langle x^2, xy^q, y^{q+1} \rangle \subset F[x,y]$ and $a_0 = 2, b_0 = 0, a_1 = 1, b_1 = q, a_2 = 0$, and $b_2 = q+1$. Then $I = \langle x^{a_i} y^{b_i} \mid i = 0, 1, 2 \rangle$. Let $k_0 = 0$, $k_1 = 1$, and $k_2 = 0$. Let $K = \{k_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2\}$. Let $x_n = y$, $m = n-1$ and $s = n-r$ (where s and m are as in Notation (9) and r is as in Notation (7)). Then

$$inP = \left\langle \gamma \mid \gamma \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{2} \Gamma'_{a_i, b_i, k_i} \right\rangle = \Gamma'_{I,K}$$

Thus inP is Ratliff-Rush by Theorems (14), (17), and Remark (15).

Note that if e is even, then $\left(x^2\right)^{(l-e-i)} \left(xy^q\right)^e \left(y^{q+1}\right)^i = \left(x^2\right)^{(l-e/2-i)} y^{qe} \left(y^{q+1}\right)^i$ is a multiple of $\left(x^2\right)^{(l-e/2-i)} \left(y^{q+1}\right)^{(e/2+i)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
I^l &= \left\langle \left\{ \left(x^2\right)^{(l-e-i)} \left(xy^q\right)^e \left(y^{q+1}\right)^i \mid 0 \leq e \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq l \right\} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \left\{ \left(x^2\right)^{(l-\theta-i)} \left(xy^q\right)^\theta \left(y^{q+1}\right)^i \mid \theta = 0, 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq l \right\} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \left\{x^{2l}, x^{2(l-1)} y^{q+1}, x^{2(l-2)} y^{2(q+1)}, x^{2(l-3)} y^{3(q+1)}, \ldots, y^{l(q+1)}\right\} \\ \cup \left\{x^{2l-1} y^q, x^{2l-3} y^{2q+1}, x^{2l-5} y^{3q+2}, \ldots, xy^{lq+l-1}\right\} \end{array} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \left\{ x^{a_i} y^{b_i} \mid i = 0, \ldots, 2l \right\} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_i = 2l - i$ and

$$b_i = \begin{cases} i(q+1)/2, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ q(i+1)/2 + (i-1)/2, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the minimal number of generators of I^l is $2l+1$.

Recall, $(inP)^l$ is generated by the set

$$\{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2l-c}}x_n^{(q+1)c/2} \mid c=0,2,4,6,...,2l;\ 1\leq i_j\leq n-1\}$$
$$\cup\left\{\begin{array}{ll} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2l-c}}x_tx_n^{(q+1)c/2-1} \mid & c=2,4,6,...,2l;\ 1\leq i_j\leq n-1; \\ & \text{and } r\leq t\leq n-1 \end{array}\right\}.$$

Note that if i is odd and $c=i+1$, then $(q+1)c/2-1=q(i+1)/2+(i-1)/2$. Hence, $(inP)^l$ is generated by the set

$$\{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{a_i}}x_n^{b_i} \mid i=0,2,4,6,...,2l;\ 1\leq i_j\leq n-1\}$$
$$\bigcup\left\{\begin{array}{ll} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{a_i-1}}x_tx_n^{b_i} \mid & i=1,3,5,...,2l-1;\ 1\leq i_j\leq n-1; \\ & \text{and } r\leq t\leq n-1 \end{array}\right\}.$$

Let $m=n-1$ and $s=n-r$ (where s and m are as in Notation (9) and r is as in Notation (7)). Let $x_n=y$ and $k_i=0$ or 1 according to i is even or i is odd. Let $K=\{k_i \mid i=0,1,\ldots,2l\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (inP)^l &= \left\langle \gamma \mid \gamma\in\bigcup_{i=0}^{2l}\Gamma'_{a_i,b_i,k_i}\right\rangle \\ &= \Gamma'_{I^l,K}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem (17) I^l is Ratliff-Rush. Therefore, applying Theorem (14) and Remark (15) we conclude that $(inP)^l$ is Ratliff-Rush. ■

4 The Integral Closure

Let I be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R. The integral closure of I is the ideal $\overline{I}$ that consists of all elements of R that satisfy an equation of the form

$$x^n+a_1x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1}x+a_n=0, \qquad a_i\in I^i.$$

The ideal I is said to be integrally closed if $I=\overline{I}$. It is well known that the integral closure of a monomial ideal in a polynomial ring is again a monomial ideal, see [26] or [27] for a proof. The problem of finding the integral closure of a monomial ideal I reduces to finding monomials r, integer i and monomials $m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_i$ in I such that $r^i+m_1m_2\cdots m_i=0$, see [26]. Geometrically, finding the integral closure of monomial ideals I in $R=F[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ is the same as finding all the integer lattice points in the convex hull $NP(I)$ (the Newton polyhedron of I) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of $\Gamma(I)$ (the Newton polytope of I) where $\Gamma(I)$ is the set of all exponent vectors of all the monomials in I. This makes computing the integral closure of monomial ideals simpler. A power of an integrally closed monomial ideal need not be integrally closed. For example, if J is the integral closure of $I = (x^4, y^5, z^7) \subset F[x, y, z]$, then J^2 is not integrally closed, see [3]. An ideal whose powers are all integrally closed is called a normal ideal. It is known that if R is a normal integral domain, then the Rees algebra $R[It] = \oplus_{n \in N} I^n t^n$ is normal if and only if I is a normal ideal of R . This brings up the importance of normality of ideals as the Rees algebra is the algebraic counterpart of blowing up a scheme along a closed subscheme.

4.1 Recalling Results on the Integral Closure

Notation 19 *Let* $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *and let* $I(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ *denote the integral closure of the ideal* $(x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n}) \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Some authors are interested in studying the integral closedness of powers of the ideals of the form $I(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$. Some necessary or sufficient conditions are given, see [20] and [27]. We use the following result to reach the goal of this section.

Theorem 20 *[3, Theorem 8] Let* $a_i \in \{s, t\}$ *with* s *and* t *arbitrary positive integers. Then the ideal* $I(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *is normal, that is, all its positive powers are integrally closed.*

Corollary 21 *[3] All positive powers of the ideal* $I(s, \ldots, s, t) \subset F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *are integrally closed. In particular, the* l^{th} *power of* $I(s, \ldots, s, t)$ *equals* $I(ls, \ldots, ls, lt)$, *which is generated by the elements of the set* $\{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{ls-a}} x_n^{\lambda_a} \mid a = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, ls;$ $1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_{ls-a} \le n-1\}$ *where* $\lambda_a = \left\lceil a \frac{t}{s} \right\rceil$.

4.2 Integral Closedness of Powers of inP

Now we state and prove the third main result of this paper.

Theorem 22 *Let* $P \subseteq F[x_0, ..., x_n]$ *be the ideal that corresponds to the arithmetic sequence* $m_0, ..., m_n$ *with* m_0 *a positive integer. Let* l *be any positive integer. Then* $(inP)^l$ *is integrally closed if and only if* $q \le 2$ *and* $r = 1$. *In particular,* inP *is normal if and only if* $q \le 2$ *and* $r = 1$.

Corollary 23 *Let* inP *be as in the above theorem. Let* l *be any positive integer. Then* $(inP)^l$ *is integrally closed if and only if* $n = m_0 - 1$ *or* $2n = m_0 - 1$. *In particular, if both* n *and* m_0 *are even integers, then* $(inP)^l$ *is not integrally closed for any* l.

Example 24 *Let $P \subseteq F[x_0, \dots, x_7]$ be the defining ideal that corresponds to some arithmetic sequence $m_0, \dots, m_7$. Then $(inP)^l$ is integrally closed if and only if $m_0 \in \{8, 15\}$.*

Lemma 25 *Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (2, \dots, 2, q+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Then $\overline{(inP)^l} = I(l\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ for any positive integer l.*

Proof. By Corollary (21) $I(l\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is generated by the elements of the set $\{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2l-e}} x_n^{\lambda_e} \mid e = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2l;\ 1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_{2l-e} \le n-1\}$ where $\lambda_e = \left\lceil e\frac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil$. Let $m = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2l-c}} x_t x_n^{\lambda_c - 1} \in \Omega$ (where Ω is as defined in (1)) with c even and let $i_{2l-c+1} = t$. If $e = c-1$, then $2l - c + 1 = 2l - e$ and hence m is a multiple of $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2l-c}} x_{i_{2l-e}} x_n^{\lambda_e}$ as $\lambda_e = \left\lceil (c-1)\,\frac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil = \frac{c}{2}(q+1) - \left\lfloor \frac{q+1}{2} \right\rfloor \le \frac{c}{2}(q+1) - 1 = \lambda_c - 1$. Therefore, $\overline{(inP)^l} \subseteq I(l\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.

On the other hand, note that $x_n^{l(q+1)} \in (inP)^l$ and $x_i^{2l} \in (inP)^l$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus $I(2l, \dots, 2l, (q+1)l) \subseteq \overline{(inP)^l}$, that is, $I(l\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \subseteq \overline{(inP)^l}$. ■

Remark 26 *Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (2, \dots, 2, q+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. By Lemma (25) and Corollary (21) $\overline{(inP)^l}$ is generated by the elements of the set*

$$H = \{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2l-e}} x_n^{\lambda_e} \mid e = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2l;\ 1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_{2l-e} \le n-1\}$$

where $\lambda_e = \left\lceil e\frac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil$.

The following three figures give an interesting visualization of how the values of q and r decide the integral closedness of $(inP)^l$. Figure (2) gives a representation of $(inP)^3$ where $P \subseteq F[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is the defining ideal that corresponds to the sequence $m_0 = 19, m_1 = 20, m_2 = 21$, and $m_3 = 22$. Then $q = 6$ and $r = 1$. By Proposition (8) the set $\{\alpha_{i,j} = x_i x_j - x_{i-1} x_{j+1} \mid 1 \le i \le j \le 2\} \cup \{\psi_j = x_j x_3^6 - x_0^7 x_{j-1} \mid 1 \le j \le 3\}$ forms a Groebner basis for P. Thus, inP is generated by $\{x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3^6, x_2 x_3^6, x_3^7\}$. By Theorem (22) the ideal $(inP)^l$ is not integrally closed for some positive integer l. The ideal $(inP)^3$ is minimally generated by $\Omega = \{x_1^a x_2^b \mid a + b = 6\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^6 \mid a + b = 5\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^7 \mid a + b = 4\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^{13} \mid a + b = 3\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^{14} \mid a + b = 2\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^{20} \mid a + b = 1\} \cup \{x_3^{21}\}$. Those monomials are represented by grey (either a disk or a circle) in Figure (2). The monomials that are represented by black (disk or a circle) are in $\overline{(inP)^3}$ but not in $(inP)^3$, hence $(inP)^3$ is not integrally closed. The set H of Remark (26) is $\{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^{\lambda_{6-(a+b)}} \mid a + b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ *and* $\lambda_a = \lceil 7\frac{a}{2} \rceil\ \}$ and it is represented by circles (grey or black). It is clear that this set minimally generates $\overline{(inP)^3}$.

Figure 2. The monomials that are represented
by black (circle or a disk) appear since $q > 2$.

Figure (3) gives a representation of $(inP)^3$ where $P \subseteq F[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is the defining ideal that corresponds to the sequence $m_0 = 8, m_1 = 11, m_2 = 14$, and $m_3 = 17$. Then $q = 2$ and $r = 2$. By Proposition (8) the set $\{\alpha_{i,j} = x_i x_j - x_{i-1}x_{j+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2\} \cup \{\psi_j = x_j x_3^2 - x_0^3 x_{j-1} \mid 2 \leq j \leq 3\}$ forms a Groebner basis for P. Thus, inP is generated by $\{x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2, x_2x_3^2, x_3^3\}$. By Theorem (22) the ideal $(inP)^l$ is not integrally closed for some positive integer l. The ideal $(inP)^3$ is minimally generated by $\Omega = \{x_1^a x_2^b \mid a+b = 6\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^2 \mid a+b = 5; b \geq 1\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^3 \mid a+b = 4\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^5 \mid a+b = 3; b \geq 1\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^6 \mid a+b = 2\} \cup \{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^8 \mid a+b = 1; b \geq 1\} \cup \{x_3^9\}$. Those monomials are represented by grey (disk or a circle) in Figure (3). The monomials that are represented by black disks are in $\overline{(inP)^3}$ but not in $(inP)^3$, hence $(inP)^3$ is not integrally closed. The set H of Remark (26) is $\{x_1^a x_2^b x_3^{\lambda_{6-(a+b)}} \mid a+b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ *and*

$\lambda_a = \left\lceil 3\frac{a}{2} \right\rceil$ } and it is represented by circles and disks (grey or black).

Figure 3. The monomials that are represented by black disks appear since $r > 1$.

Figure (4) gives a representation of $(inP)^3$ where $P \subseteq F[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is the defining ideal that corresponds to the sequence $m_0 = 7, m_1 = 9, m_2 = 11$, and $m_3 = 13$. Then $q = 2$ and $r = 1$. By Proposition (8) the set $\{\alpha_{i,j} =$

Figure 4. No black points appear since $q \leq 2$ and $r = 1$.

$x_ix_j - x_{i-1}x_{j+1} \mid 1 \le i \le j \le 2\} \cup \{\psi_j = x_jx_3^2 - x_0^3x_{j-1} \mid 1 \le j \le 3\}$ forms a Groebner basis for P. Thus, inP is generated by $\{x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3^2, x_2x_3^2, x_3^3\}$. By Theorem (22) the ideal $(inP)^l$ is integrally closed for any positive integer l. The ideal $(inP)^3$ is minimally generated by $\Omega = \{x_1^ax_2^bx_3^{\lambda_{6-(a+b)}} \mid a+b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6;\ \lambda_a = \lceil 3\frac{a}{2} \rceil\} = H$.

We are know ready to prove the last main theorem of this paper.

Proof. (**Proof of Theorem 22**) Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (2, \ldots, 2, q+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. By Lemma (25) and Corollary (21) $\overline{(inP)^l}$ is generated by the elements of the set

$$H = \{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2l-e}} x_n^{\lambda_e} \mid e = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2l;\ 1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_{2l-e} \le n-1\}$$

where $\lambda_e = \left\lceil e\dfrac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil$. By (1) the ideal $(inP)^l$ is minimally generated by the set $\Omega = A \cup B$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2l-c}} x_n^{\lambda_c} \mid c = 0, 2, 4, ..., 2l;\ 1 \le i_j \le n-1\}, \\ B &= \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2l-c}} x_t x_n^{\lambda_c - 1} \mid c = 2, 4, 6, ..., 2l;\ 1 \le i_j \le n-1;\ r \le t \le n-1\ \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(inP)^l = \overline{(inP)^l}$ if and only if $H = \Omega$. Therefore, the theorem is proved by showing $H \subseteq \Omega$ if and only if $q \le 2$ and $r = 1$.

Assume $q \le 2$ and $r = 1$. If we let $x_{2l-c+1} = x_t$, then Ω can be redefined as

$$\Omega = \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2l-c+b}} x_n^{\lambda_c - b} \mid 1 \le i_j \le n-1;\ c = 0, 2, 4, ..., 2l \text{ and } b = 0, 1\}$$

with $b = 0$ if $c = 0$. Also since $q \le 2$, then $\lambda_{c-1} = \left\lceil (c-1)\dfrac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil = \dfrac{c}{2}(q+1) - \left\lfloor \dfrac{q+1}{2} \right\rfloor = \dfrac{c}{2}(q+1) - 1 = \lambda_c - 1$ for every even integer c. This implies

$$\Omega = \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{2l-c}} x_n^{\lambda_c} \mid 1 \le i_j \le n-1; c = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 2l\} = H.$$

To prove the sufficient condition consider that $\sigma = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2l-1}} x_n^{\lambda_1} \in H$ for $1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_{2l-1} \le n-1$. Fix an integer j such that $1 \le j \le n-1$ and let $i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_{2l-1} = j$. Then $\sigma = x_j^{2l-1} x_n^{\lceil \frac{q+1}{2} \rceil}$. We show $\sigma \notin \Omega$ whenever $q > 2$ or $r > 1$. If $r > 1$, then choose an integer j with $1 \le j < r$. As $\lambda_c > \lceil \frac{q+1}{2} \rceil$ for any nonzero even integer c, then $\sigma \notin A$. Clearly, $\sigma \notin B$ as $j < r$. Hence, $\sigma \notin \Omega$. If $q > 2$, then $\lambda_1 = \left\lceil \dfrac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil < q \le \dfrac{c}{2}(q+1) - 1 = \lambda_c - 1$ for any nonzero even integer c. This shows $\sigma \notin \Omega$. $\blacksquare$

References

[1] I. Al-Ayyoub, Reduced Gröbner bases of certain toric varieties; A New Short Proof, *Comm. Algebra* 37 (9) (2009), ???-???.

[2] I. Al-Ayyoub, An algorithm for computing the Ratliff-Rush closure, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications* 8 (4) (2009), 521-532.

[3] I. Al-Ayyoub, Normality of monomial ideals, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Math.* 39 (1) (2009), 1-9.

[4] I. Al-Ayyoub, The Ratliff-Rush closure of initial ideals of certain prime ideals. To appear in the *Rocky Mountain Journal of Math.*

[5] I. Al-Ayyoub. The Ratliff-Rush closure and a minimal Groebner basis for the defining ideals of certain affine monomial curves. Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State University. Las Cruces, NM (2004).

[6] A. Corso, C. Huneke and W. Vasconcelos, On the integral closure of ideals, *Manuscripta Math.* 95 (1998), 331-347.

[7] V. Crispin Quiñonez, Ratliff-Rush monomial ideals, *Algebraic and Geometric Combinatorics, Contemp. Math.* 423 (2007), 43 –50.

[8] J. Elias, On the computations of the Ratliff-Rush closure, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 37 (2004), no. 6, 717-725.

[9] L. Ghezzi, On the depth of the associated graded ring of an ideal, *J. of Algebra* 248 (2002), 688-707.

[10] W. Heinzer, D. Lantz. and K. Shah, The Ratliff-Rush ideals in a Noetherian ring, *Comm. Algebra* 20 (2) (1992), 591-622.

[11] W. Heinzer, B. Johnston, D. Lantz and K. Shah, Coefficient ideals in and blowups of a commutative Noetherian domain, *J. of Algebra* 162 (1993), 355-391.

[12] S. Huckaba and T. Marley, Hilbert coefficients and the depths of associated graded rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* 56 (1997), 64-76.

[13] C. Huneke, On the associated graded ring of an ideal, *Illinois J. Math.* 26 (1982), 121-137.

[14] D. P. Patil, Minimal sets of generators for the relation ideals of certain monomial curves, *Manuscripta Math.* 80 (1993), 239-248.

[15] D. P. Patil and L. G. Roberts, Hilbert functions of monomial curves, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 183 (2003), 257-292.

[16] D. P. Patil and B. Singh, Generators for the derivation modules and the relation ideals of certain curves, *Manuscripta Math.* 68 (1990), 327-335.

[17] D. P. Patil and G. Tamone, On the H-polynomial of certain monomial curves, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Math.* 34 (1) (2004), 289-308.

[18] D. P. Patil and G. Tamone, On the type of the associated graded ring of certain monomial curves, *Comm. Algebra* 30 (11) (2002), 5181-5198.

[19] R. J. Ratliff and D. E. Rush, Two notes on reductions of ideals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 27 (1978), 929-934.

[20] L. Reid, L. G. Roberts, and M. A. Vitulli, Some results on normal monomial ideals, *Comm. Algebra* 31 (2003), 4485-4506.

[21] M. E. Rossi and I. Swanson, Notes in the behavior of the Ratliff-Rush filtration, *Contemporary Math.* 331 (2003), 313-328.

[22] I. Sengupta, A Gröbner basis for certain affine monomial curves, *Comm. Algebra* 31 (3) (2003), 1113-1129.

[23] I. Sengupta, A minimal free resolution of certain momomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^4$, *Comm. Algebra* 31 (6) (2003), 2791-2809.

[24] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1995).

[25] B. Sturmfels, Gröbner bases of toric varieties, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* 43 (1991), 249-261.

[26] I. Swanson and C. Huneke, *Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[27] M. A. Vitulli, Some normal monomial ideals, *Contemp. Math.* 324, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 205-217.

Ibrahim Al-Ayyoub, assistant professor.
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Jordan University of Science and Technology
P O Box 3030, Irbid 22110, JORDAN
Email address: iayyoub@just.edu.jo